

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America

v.

Rogelio Vidal Santillan

Date of Original Judgment: 08/01/2019

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Case No: 5:18-cr-48-KDB-SCR-14USM No: 34475-058

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 08/01/2019 shall remain in effect.**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed: February 20, 2024



Kenneth D. Bell
United States District Judge

Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____

(if different from order date)

Kenneth D. Bell

Printed name and title

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.
(Not for Public Disclosure)

DEFENDANT: Rogelio Vidal SantillanCASE NUMBER: 5:18-cr-48-KDB-SCR-14DISTRICT: Western District of North Carolina**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)**

Previous Total Offense Level: _____ Amended Total Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: _____ Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (See *Chavez-Meza v. United States*, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018))

Under the amendment to U.S.S.G. §4C1.1 in Amendment 821, the Defendant does not qualify to receive a two-level reduction in his offense level as a zero-point offender because he does not satisfy §4C1.1(a)(7) because he possessed a firearm. (Doc. No. 271, ¶¶ 17-18), (Doc. No. 359 at 1). There is no need for appointment of counsel in this matter. Additionally, Amendment 782 became effective on November 1, 2014 and was considered in Defendant's sentencing on July 30, 2019, years after Amendment 782 went into effect.